

Welcome to the review of the proposed changes to the MSC Fisheries Standard

This consultation is open to all stakeholders for 60 days from 1 February, closing on 4 April at 0700 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC). An understanding of the MSC Fisheries Standard and certification process will help you effectively participate in this consultation.

Background to this consultation

The MSC carries out formal <u>reviews of the MSC Fisheries Standard</u> to make sure our assessment and certification system remain the leading measure of fisheries sustainability.

Since this current review started in 2018, the MSC has developed new policy through a rigorous multi-step, multi-stakeholder process, engaging with different sectors. Gathering evidence from a wide range of scientific sources to support our policies helps ensure our policies are effective at delivering the MSC's intent, feasible for fisheries to implement and acceptable to stakeholders. We have conducted our own research, reviewed research carried out by independent scientists, spoken directly with experts, solicited advice from our governance bodies and tested proposed revisions in mock assessments to ensure the proposed changes deliver MSC's intent, are feasible and auditable.

As part of this review we have already publicly consulted on those projects with the greatest complexity and potential to change the intent of the Standard or lead to high-impact changes to requirements. Many of those consultations were done to ensure that stakeholders agreed with the intent of any proposed revisions. More information on past consultations can be found on the MSC website.

This <u>final review</u> of the draft revised MSC Fisheries Standard, certification process and guidance is focused on ensuring that the proposed revisions are effective at delivering their intended outcome and checking whether they are broadly acceptable to stakeholders.

You can find <u>draft versions of the proposed revised MSC Fisheries Standard and associated program documents</u> here.

Instructions for this survey

In this survey you will be shown a list of proposed changes and asked to indicate which changes you wish to comment on. The survey will then show you the questions related to those topics. You can provide comment on as many or as few topics as you would like.

On each topic page you will see links to background information. It is recommended that you read the background information closely before answering the questions.

A version of the Standard with tracked changes is available upon request by emailing standards@msc.org.

You may wish to to **download a PDF of the survey** in advance. This will allow you to view and share all the questions before providing answers.

Note that this survey will take considerable time to complete, depending on how much feedback you wish to provide.

You will not be able to save your progress and return later.

If you cannot do this survey for any reason, you feel language is a barrier to participation or you have any other questions, please email us at standards@msc.org. We will do our best to accommodate your request.



GDPR and research ethics

The specific purpose of this consultation is research. All feedback will be analysed by the MSC Executive and discussed with the MSC Technical Advisory Board and MSC Stakeholder Advisory Council who will make recommendations to the Board of Trustees. The Board will then take a decision on whether to publish any revised requirements in a future iteration of these proposed program documents.

We will also use participant's information to evaluate whether the participants collectively constitute a broadly representative sample of key stakeholders for the issue(s) of interest.

Any project reports and case studies will have the names and organisations of consultation participants redacted, depending on the preference you state below.

Finally, this survey is entirely optional and you may exit at any time. Any completed questions will be submitted.

¹ 1. (Given the above would you like to continue?
	I would like to continue and am willing to be named in any public report.
	I would like to continue but do not want to be named in any public report.
	I do not want to continue (end survey).



Contact information	
2. Please provide the	e following information.
Full name	
Email Address	
3. In which count	ry are you based?
	\$
4. What organisation	n do you represent, if any? Name one only.
5. Are you part of an	y industry groups or coalitions? If so, please list them.



Stakeholder categories

6. PI	ease state which stakeholder category best describes your job.
	Seafood supply chain - including trading, processing, packing/repacking, storage and transportation of seafood products.
	Commercial wild harvest fisheries - Involvement with harvesting wild stocks for profit.
	Aquaculture - Involvement with the husbandry of farmed stocks.
	Conformity assessment and/or accreditation - Involvement with testing or other activities that determine whether a process, product, or services complies with the requirements of a specification, technical standard, contract, or regulation, or involved with issuing credentials or certifying third parties against an official standard.
\bigcirc	Standard setting - Developing, coordinating, promulgating, revising, amending, reissuing, interpreting, or otherwise producing technical standards.
	Non-governmental organisation - An organisation dedicated to effecting change according to their objectives, including but not limited, to environmental NGOs.
\bigcirc	Academic/Scientific - An intellectual/theoretical interest in the seafood sector.
\bigcirc	Comms/media - Involvement with communications related to the seafood sector.
	Consumer - A person who buys and uses a seafood product.
\bigcirc	Cultural/recreational/artisanal - A lifestyle interest in the seafood sector.
\bigcirc	Governance/management - Leadership and administration for the governance of the seafood sector.
	Other (please specify)



Seafood Supply Chain categories

7. Places state which elements of the confood cumply chain you are involved with. Select all that
7. Please state which elements of the seafood supply chain you are involved with. Select all that apply, if any.
_
Trading - Buying and selling of product, including but not limited to retailers.
Transportation/logistics - Transportation of product.
Storage - Holding of product in storage.
Packing/Repacking - Changing of packaging.
Processing - Any activity that changes the
product.
Other (please
specify)



Fisheries categories

8.	Please say	what types of	f commercial fisheries	vou represent.
ο.	ricase sav	/ Wilat types of	Commercial handres	VUU IEDIESEIIL

An even mix of
Almost all smaller Mostly smaller scale fisheries scale fisheries Mostly larger Almost all large scale fisheries scale fisheries Don't know



Selecting the issues that you want to comment on.

_	Changes to the scope of the MSC program Find out more on this topic on our website
	Clarifying best practice for reducing impacts on endangered, threatened and protected and out of scope species
	Find out more on this topic on our website
	Identifying further solutions to ensure MSC certified fisheries are not involved in shark finning
	Find out more on this topic on our website
	Supporting the prevention of gear loss and ghost fishing Find out more on this topic on our website
	Reviewing Principle 1 with a focus on harvest strategies Find out more on this topic on our website
	Ensuring effective fisheries management systems are in place Find out more on this topic on our website
	This cat here on the topic on <u>car wester</u>
	Changes to the Fisheries Certification Process including those related to the harmonisation of assessments
	Find out more on this topic on our website
	Select for more topics



Selecting the issues that you want to comment on.

ecosystem performance indicators are clear and consistently applied one on this topic on our website requirements for modified assessment trees for enhanced bivalves, salmon and introd one on this topic on our website ents for managing fish stocks including requirements for dynamic fisheries, low tropic sies and Inseparable or practicably inseparable (IPI) stocks, and specific requirements and and octopus one on dynamic fisheries, LTL species, IPI stocks and squid, crab and octopus fisheries on our enderson on this topic on our website
ents for managing fish stocks including requirements for dynamic fisheries, low tropic sies and Inseparable or practicably inseparable (IPI) stocks, and specific requirements and and octopus ore on dynamic fisheries, LTL species, IPI stocks and squid, crab and octopus fisheries on our enderson of the MSC Fisheries Standard more efficient
ents for managing fish stocks including requirements for dynamic fisheries, low tropic cies and Inseparable or practicably inseparable (IPI) stocks, and specific requirements and and octopus ore on dynamic fisheries, LTL species, IPI stocks and squid, crab and octopus fisheries on our ender the MSC Fisheries Standard more efficient
ore on <u>dynamic fisheries</u> , <u>LTL species</u> , <u>IPI stocks</u> and <u>squid, crab and octopus fisheries</u> on our e MSC Fisheries Standard more efficient
the Risk-Based Framework continues to deliver precautionary and consistent assessm mited fisheries ore on this topic on <u>our website</u>
sue still not listed above
ore



Changes to the scope of the MSC program

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for changes to the scope of the MSC program.

You can find background information and more on this proposal <u>here</u>. We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below.

You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org.

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

Disagree

Strongly disagree

12. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

Neither

"This proposal to add a new scope criterion to exclude entities convicted of serious crime in the last two years will be effective at ensuring that the MSC program excludes entities convicted of serious crime."

Agree

Strongly agree

Don't know

				0	
Please explain your a oossible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
0.01		au diaaanaa wiith	the fellowing	atatamant	
3. Please say who	etner you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
3. Please say who The proposal to a ast two years is a	ndd a new scop	e criterion to exc		onvicted of serious	crime in the
The proposal to a	ndd a new scop	e criterion to exc			crime in the
The proposal to a	ndd a new scop cceptable to me	e criterion to exc e."	lude entities co	onvicted of serious	
The proposal to a ast two years is a strongly disagree	add a new scope cceptable to me Disagree	e criterion to exc	Agree	onvicted of serious	Don't know

Strongly disagree	nally kill marine Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
	Disagree		, ig. 55		
Please explain your a	nswer, telling us a	nything you would c	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
L5. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
	arine mammals	whilst undertaki		nat intentionally har ivities (e.g. setting o	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
		criterion on cont	roversial unila	teral exemptions ar MSC program exclu	•
"This proposal to fisheries to PI 3.1	1 at SG60 will b			1103.	
"This proposal to fisheries to PI 3.1	1 at SG60 will b			Strongly agree	Don't know
fisheries to PI 3.1 that are subject to	1 at SG60 will be controversial u	ınilateral exempt	ions and dispu		Don't know

The proposal to ron fisheries to PI 3.				nilateral exemptions	s and disputes
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
lease explain your ai ossible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
8. What other con ossible.	cerns related t	o this issue wou	ıld you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as



Clarifying best practice for reducing impacts on endangered, threatened and protected species

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for clarifying the requirements for reducing impacts on endangered, threatened and protected species.
You can find background information and more on this proposal <u>here</u> . We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below.
You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org.

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

Disagree

Strongly disagree

19. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

Neither

"This proposal for clarifying requirements will be effective at ensuring that impacts on endangered, threatened and protected and out of scope species are reduced in MSC certified fisheries."

Agree

Strongly agree

Don't know

J	•	Ū	Ū		ŭ	0, 0	
Please possible	-	n your ans	swer, telling ι	us anything you would c	change about the p	roposal. Please be a	s concise as

The proposal for pecies will be fea		_		and protected and o	out of scope
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a will influence the outc		g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fish	eries management fact	tors that you think
21. Please say who "The proposal for species is accepta	the requiremen		_	statement. and protected and o	out of scope
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
22. What other cor	nswer, telling us a	o this issue wou		aise? Please be as	
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
possible. 22. What other corpossible.		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			
22. What other cor		o this issue wou			



				are not involved ii	
ou indicated that you nvolved in shark finni		e feedback on our p	roposal for ensur	ing MSC certified fishe	ries are not
ou can find backgrou answering the questic		d more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this in	nformation befo
ou can request a ver standards@msc.org	•	ements specific to th	s topic including	tracked changes by en	nailing
A PDF of this page inc	cluding the below (questions can be fou	und <u>here</u> .		
23. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal wil finning."	l be effective at	ensuring MSC c	ertified fisherie	es are not involved	in shark
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would c	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
24. Please say who "The proposal for for fisheries to imp	ensuring MSC (_		statement. red in shark finning	will be feasi
"The proposal for	ensuring MSC (_			will be feasi

25. Please say whe	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"The proposal for to me."	ensuring MSC	certified fisheries	s are not involv	ved in shark finning	is acceptable
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
26. What other cor possible.	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as



Supporting the pre	evention of ge	ar loss and gho	st fishing					
You indicated that you	wanted to provide	e feedback on our p	roposal for preve	nting gear loss and gho	ost fishing.			
You can find backgrou answering the question		d more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this ir	nformation before			
You can request a vers standards@msc.org.	•	ments specific to th	is topic including	tracked changes by en	nailing			
A PDF of this page inc	luding the below o	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .					
27. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.								
	"This proposal will be effective at ensuring that gear loss and ghost fishing is prevented in MSC certified fisheries."							
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			
		0		0				
Please explain your ar possible.	Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would change about the proposal. Please be as concise as possible.							
28. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.								
"The proposal for pimplement."	oreventing gear	r loss and ghost	fishing will be	feasible for fisherie	es to			
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			

Please explain your answer, highlighting any technical, economic and/or fisheries management factors that you think

will influence the outcome.

.s. Flease say wile	ther you agree	or disagree with	the following s	statement.	
The proposal for p	reventing gear	loss and ghost	fishing is acce _l	ptable to me."	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your an ossible.	swer, telling us ar	nything you would c	hange about the p	oroposal. Please be as	s concise as
80. What other concossible.	cerns related to	o this issue wou	d you like to ra	ise? Please be as	concise as



Reviewing Principle 1 with a focus on harvest strategies

You indicated that you	u wanted to provid	e feedback on our p	roposal for harve	st strategies.	
ou can find backgro		nd more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this i	nformation befo
ou can request a ve		ements specific to th	is topic including	tracked changes by er	nailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
31. Please say wh	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal wil	II be effective et	t ensuring that M	SC certified fis	heries, including th	ose with sh
stocks or stocks r				robust and precauti	ionary harve
stocks or stocks r strategy."				robust and precaution Strongly agree	ionary harve Don't know
stocks or stocks r strategy."	managed across	s multiple jurisdio	ctions, have a		-
stocks or stocks restrategy." Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree		Don't know
stocks or stocks restrategy." Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
stocks or stocks restrategy." Strongly disagree	Disagree answer, telling us a	Neither anything you would c	Agree Change about the	Strongly agree proposal. Please be as	Don't know
stocks or stocks restrategy." Strongly disagree Please explain your apossible.	Disagree answer, telling us a	Neither anything you would core or disagree with	Agree Change about the	Strongly agree proposal. Please be as	Don't know
Strategy." Strongly disagree Please explain your a possible. 82. Please say who	Disagree answer, telling us a	Neither anything you would core or disagree with	Agree Change about the	Strongly agree proposal. Please be as	Don't know

3. Please say whe	etner you agree	or alsagree with	tne tollowing	statement.	
The proposal for l	harvest strateg	ies is acceptable	to me."		
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your an	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
34. What other con	ocerns related to	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	nise? Please be as o	concise as



Ensuring effective fisheries management systems are in place

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for ensuring effective fisheries management systems are in place.

You can find background information and more on these proposals <u>here</u>. That page includes information separated into 1) Evidence Requirements and 2) Best Practice in Monitoring, Control and Surveillance (MCS).

We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below, which are split between Evidence Requirements and MCS.

You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org.

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

35. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

"This proposal for evidence requirements will be effective at ensuring that effective fisheries management systems are in place in MSC certified fisheries."

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neitner	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	anything you would c	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as

Strongly disagree	•		asible for fish	eries to implement.	
	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your and influence the outco		g any technical, ecol	nomic and/or fish	eries management fact	ors that you thin
7. Please say whe				statement.	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
	establishing be	est practice in mo	onitoring, cont	statement. rol and surveillance s are in place in MS	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
	nswer, telling us a	nything you would c	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	conciso as

O. Please say whether you agree or disagree with The proposal for establishing best practice in more me." Strongly disagree Disagree Neither lease explain your answer, telling us anything you would cossible.	h the following onitoring, con Agree change about the	g statement. trol and surveillance Strongly agree e proposal. Please be a	Don't know s concise as
The proposal for establishing best practice in motor me." Strongly disagree Disagree Neither Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would dossible.	h the following onitoring, con Agree change about the	g statement. trol and surveillance Strongly agree e proposal. Please be a	Don't know s concise as
O. Please say whether you agree or disagree with The proposal for establishing best practice in me o me." Strongly disagree Disagree Neither Clease explain your answer, telling us anything you would cossible.	h the following onitoring, con Agree change about the	g statement. trol and surveillance Strongly agree e proposal. Please be a	Don't know s concise as
Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would dossible.	Agree change about the	Strongly agree e proposal. Please be a	Don't know s concise as
Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would dossible. 1. What other concerns related to this issue would the second seco	change about the	e proposal. Please be a	s concise as
Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would doossible. 11. What other concerns related to this issue would consible.			
ossible. 1. What other concerns related to this issue wou			



Changes to the Fisheries Certification Process

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for changes to the Fisheries Certification Proc	ess
including those related to the harmonisation of assessments.	

You can find background information and more on this proposal <u>here</u>. We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below.

You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org.

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

42.	Please s	say whethe	r you agree	or disagree v	vith the fo	llowing statement.
-----	----------	------------	-------------	---------------	-------------	--------------------

"This proposal will be effective at ensuring that assessments are harmonised."

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know

Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would change about the proposal. Please be as concise as possible.

43. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

"The proposal for harmonising assessments will be feasible for conformity assessment bodies to implement."

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neithei	Agree	Strongly agree	DOITE KHOW
Please explain your a		g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fishe	ries management fact	ors that you think

will influence the outcome.

14. Please say whe	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"The proposal for	harmonising as	ssessments is ac	ceptable to me	2."	
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
			tion of assessn	nents would you lik	ce to raise?
Please be as conc	ise as possible.	•			



Ensuring habitat performance indicators are clear and consistently applied

3	•			7 11	
You indicated that you clear and consistently	•	e feedback on our p	oroposal for ensuri	ng habitat performanc	e indicators are
You can find backgrou answering the question		d more on this prop	oosal <mark>here</mark> . We sug	ggest you review this ir	nformation before
You can request a ver standards@msc.org	=	ements specific to th	nis topic including t	racked changes by en	nailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
47. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	n the following s	statement.	
"This proposal to consistently applic		at ensuring that	t habitat perforn	mance indicators a	re clear and
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the p	proposal. Please be as	concise as

48. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

Disagree

Strongly disagree

"The proposal for clarifying the habitat performance indicators will be feasible for fisheries to implement."

Neither

		0	0	
Please explain your will influence the out	g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fisher	ries management fac	ctors that you think

Agree

Strongly agree

Don't know

The proposal for	clarifying the h	abitat performan	ce indicators is	acceptable to me.	ıı .
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a ossible.	answer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
0. What other co	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	uise? Please be as o	concise as



Ensuring ecosyst	em performan	ce indicators ar	e clear and co	nsistently applied	
You indicated that you are clear and consiste		e feedback on our p	roposal for ensur	ing ecosystem perform	nance indicators
You can find backgrou answering the question		d more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this i	nformation before
You can request a ver standards@msc.org	•	ements specific to th	is topic including	tracked changes by er	mailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
51. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal wil consistently applic		ensuring that th	e ecosystem p	erformance indicat	ors are
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
0					
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal Please be as	concise as

52. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

Neither

Disagree

Strongly disagree

"The proposal for ensuring that the ecosystem performance indicators are clear and consistently applied will be feasible for fisheries to implement."

		\circ	0		
Please explain your a	answer, highlightir	ng any technical, eco	nomic and/or fishe	ries management fac	ctors that you think
will influence the outo	come.				

Agree

Strongly agree

Don't know

ossible.				Strongly agree proposal Please be as	Don't know concise as
ossible. 4. What other conc				proposal Please be as	concise as
	cerns related to	o this issue wou	ld vou like to w		
			id you like to re	aise? Please be as	concise as
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		



Clarifying requirements for assessment trees for enhanced bivalves, salmon and introduced species

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for clarifying the requirements for modified assessment trees for enhanced bivalves, salmon and introduced species.								
You can find backgrou answering the questio		d more on this prop	oosal <u>here</u> . We sug	gest you review this ir	nformation before			
You can request a ver standards@msc.org	•	ments specific to th	nis topic including t	racked changes by en	nailing			
A PDF of this page inc	cluding the below (questions can be fo	ound <u>here</u> .					
55. Please say whe	ether you agree	or disagree with	n the following s	statement.				
"This proposal will enhanced bivalves		_	-	for modified asses	ssment trees for			
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			
	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	0			
Please explain your arpossible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would	change about the p	oroposal. Please be as	s concise as			

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a vill influence the outc		g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fish	eries management fact	ors that you thinl
	clarify the requi	rements for mod	ified assessme	statement. ent trees for enhanc	ed bivalves,
Salmon and introd Strongly disagree	uced species is Disagree	s acceptable to m	e." Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
			, ig. 66		
oossible.				proposal. Please be as	
oossible.					
58. What other cor					
58. What other cor					
oossible.					



Making the MSC Fisheries Standard more efficient

ou indicated that yo	u wanted to provide	e feedback on our pi	roposal for makin	g the MSC Fisheries S	Standard more
ou can find backgro nswering the question		d more on this propo	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this i	nformation bef
ou can request a ve	•	ments specific to thi	s topic including	tracked changes by er	nailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below o	questions can be fou	ınd <u>here</u> .		
59. Please say wh	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal wi efficiently."	ll be effective at	ensuring that the	e MSC Fisherie	es Standard can be	applied mo
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't knov
Please explain your a possible.	ınswer, telling us aı	nything you would cl	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
feasible for fisher	making the app	lication of the MS t."	SC Fisheries S	tandard more effici	
'The proposal for	making the app	lication of the MS			ent will be Don't know

cceptable to me.' Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
			0	0	
lease explain your a ossible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
2. What other cor ossible.	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	aise? Please be as o	concise as



Ensuring the Risk-Based Framework continues to deliver precautionary and consistent assessments

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for ensuring the Risk-Based Framework continues to deliver precautionary and consistent assessments for data-limited fisheries.
You can find background information and more on this proposal <u>here</u> . We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below.
You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org .

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

Disagree

Strongly disagree

63. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

Neither

"This proposal will be effective at ensuring that the Risk-Based Framework delivers precautionary and consistent assessments for data-limited fisheries."

Agree

	0	\circ			
Please explain your possible.	answer, telling us a	anything you would	change about the p	roposal. Please be as	s concise as

Don't know

Strongly agree

The proposal to on implement."	nany me requi	oments of the K	SA-DASEU FIAI		IMIC IOI IISIICIIC
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
lease explain your a		g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fish	eries management fact	ors that you think
5. Please say who			_	statement. ramework is accept	able to me."
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
ossible. 6. What other cor				proposal. Please be as	
oossible.					



Clarifying the assessment of dynamic fisheries

You indicated that you wanted to provide feedback on our proposal for clarifying the assessment of dynamic fisheries
You can find background information and more on this proposal <u>here</u> . We suggest you review this information before answering the questions below.
You can request a version of the requirements specific to this topic including tracked changes by emailing standards@msc.org .

"This proposal will be effective at ensuring that the requirements for the assessment of dynamic

A PDF of this page including the below questions can be found here.

67. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

fisheries are clear	"						
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know		
Please explain your answer, telling us anything you would change about the proposal. Please be as concise as possible. 68. Please say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.							
"The proposal for clarifying the assessment of dynamic fisheries will be feasible for fisheries to implement." Strongly disagree Disagree Neither Agree Strongly agree Don't know							
	Dioagree	- TVEILITEI	/ igice	On ongry agree	Dorrentow		
Please explain your a	nswer highlightin	g any technical, eco	nomic and/or fishe	eries management fact	ors that you thin		
will influence the outc		g arry teerrinear, eeo	TIOTHIC AHA/OF HOLE	nes management laci	ors that you tilling		

9. Please say whe	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
The proposal for	clarifying the as	ssessment of dy	namic fisheries	s is acceptable to m	ie."
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would c	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
70. What other cor	ncerns related to	o this issue wou	d you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as



Clarifying the assessment of key low trophic level stocks

Clarifying the asse	essment of key	y low trophic lev	el stocks		
You indicated that you level stocks.	wanted to provide	e feedback on our p	roposal for clarifyi	ng the assessment of	key low trophic
You can find backgrou answering the question		d more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We suç	ggest you review this in	nformation before
You can request a vers standards@msc.org.	· ·	ments specific to th	is topic including t	racked changes by en	nailing
A PDF of this page inc	luding the below o	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
71. Please say whe	ther you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal will trophic level stocks		ensuring that th	e requirements	for the assessmen	าt of key low
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your ar possible.	nswer, telling us ar	nything you would c	hange about the p	oroposal. Please be as	s concise as
72. Please say whe	ther you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"The proposal for of fisheries to implement		ssessment of key	/ low trophic le	vel stocks will be f	easible for
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
		\circ			
Please explain your ar		any technical, ecor	nomic and/or fishe	eries management fact	ors that you think

The proposal for	clarifying the a	ssessment of ke	y low trophic le	evel stocks is accep	otable to me."
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a	nswer, telling us a	anything you would d	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
4. What other cor	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as



Clarifying the ass	sessment of ins	separable or pra	cticably insep	arable (IPI) stocks	s in a catch
You indicated that you practicably inseparab			roposal for clarify	ing the assessment of	inseparable or
You can find backgrou answering the questic		d more on this prop	osal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this in	nformation before
You can request a ver standards@msc.org	=	ements specific to th	is topic including	tracked changes by en	nailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
75. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"This proposal wil inseparable or pra				for clarifying the a clear."	ssessment of
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would c	hange about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
76. Please say who	ether you agree	or disagree with	the following	statement.	
"The proposal for	clarifying the a	ssessment of ins	eparable or pr	acticably inseparab	ele (IPI) stocks
in a catch will be f	easible for fish	eries to implemei	nt."		

Strongly disagree

will influence the outcome.

Disagree

Neither

Please explain your answer, highlighting any technical, economic and/or fisheries management factors that you think

Agree

Strongly agree

Don't know

The proposal for a catch is accep		ssessment of ins	separable or pr	acticably inseparab	ie (IPI) stocks
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
lease explain your a ossible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
8. What other cor ossible.	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ıld you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as



Clarifying the ass	essment of sq	uid, crab and o	ctopus fisherie	S	
You indicated that you octopus fisheries.	ມ wanted to provid	e feedback on our p	proposal for clarify	ing the assessment of	squid, crab and
You can find backgrou answering the question		nd more on this prop	oosal <u>here</u> . We su	ggest you review this i	nformation before
You can request a verstandards@msc.org		ements specific to th	nis topic including	tracked changes by er	nailing
A PDF of this page in	cluding the below	questions can be fo	und <u>here</u> .		
79. Please say who	ether you agree	e or disagree with	n the following	statement.	
"This proposal wil and octopus fishe		t ensuring that th	ne requirements	for the assessme	nt of squid, crai
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
80. Please say who		_		statement. ctopus fisheries wi	ill be feasible fo
fisheries to implem	nent."	-			
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know

Please explain your answer, highlighting any technical, economic and/or fisheries management factors that you think

will influence the outcome.

31. Please say who	se say whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.				
"The proposal for me."	clarifying the a	ssessment of sq	uid, crab and o	ctopus fisheries is	acceptable to
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
Please explain your a possible.	nswer, telling us a	nything you would o	change about the	proposal. Please be as	s concise as
32. What other cor possible.	ncerns related t	o this issue wou	ld you like to ra	aise? Please be as	concise as
			, ,		



Other concerns

The scope for change as part of this Fisheries Standard Review is limited to the proposals discussed in this consultation.

However, we are always interested to receive feedback on any part of the MSC program. If there are any other issues related to the MSC Standard or assurance system you would like to raise please provide details below, noting that these comments will be considered outside of the current Fisheries Standard Review.

If you have any questions about the MSC process for raising and resolving issues with the any of the MSC Standards please visit the MSC website or email standards@msc.org.

83. What other concerns related to the MSC Standards and/or assurance system would you like to

raise? Please be as concise as possible.					



Evaluation of this	consultation							
To help us improve our consultations in the future, please complete the following questions.								
84. How did you	ı first hear abo	out this consultatio	n survey?					
			\$					
95 I found this cor	acultation cur	vey simple and eas	v to participa	to in				
65. I loullu tills coi	isuitation sur		y to participa	te III.				
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			
		0		0				
86. Participating in	this consulta	tion survey was wo	orth my time.					
		Neither agree nor	-					
Strongly disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			
87. I would recomm	mend participa	ating in MSC consu	ltations to my	colleagues.				
		Neither agree nor						
Strongly disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			
		0	0	0	\bigcirc			
88. This consultation topic.	on survey wa	s exactly what I nee	ded for me to	provide my feedba	ck on this			
topic.		Noither agree per						
Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know			

nsultations over time.	•	ticipate). This v	



Satisfaction with the Fisheries Standard Review 90. Did you participate in the last Fisheries Standard Review (released in October 2014)? Yes O No 91. How satisfied are you that the areas currently proposed for review address any major concerns with the current Standard? Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Neither Satisfied Very satisfied Don't know Please explain your answer.



Would you like to join one or more of our mailing lists?

92. Would you like to be contacted about future MSC policy development work (this is revisions to
one of the MSC's normative documents), including consultation events? Please tick all areas that apply.
MSC Fisheries Program updates
MSC Supply Chain Program updates
ASC-MSC Seaweed Program updates
All MSC Policy Development updates
None of the above



E
Th fur
h